DELIVERY TYPES AND COMMUNICATION MODALITIES IN THE FLAT-PACK FURNITURE DESIGN CRITIC

YEONJOO OH, ELLEN YI-LUEN DO*, MARK D GROSS, SUGURU ISHIZAKI
Carnegie Mellon University, USA
*Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

Keywords: critiquing system, design critiquing

1. Introduction

A computer-based design critiquing system analyzes a proposed solution and offers critiques (Robbins 1998). Critiques help designers identify problems as well as opportunities to improve their designs. Compared with human critics, today’s computer-based critiquing systems deliver feedback in quite restricted manner. Most systems provide only negative evaluations in text; whereas studio teachers critique by interpreting the student’s design, introducing new ideas, demonstrating and giving examples, and offering evaluations (Bailey 2004; Uluoglu 2000) using speech, writing, and drawing to communicate (Anthony 1991; Schön 1983). This article presents a computer-based critiquing system, Flat-pack Furniture Design Critic (FFDC). This system supports multiple delivery types and modalities, adapting the typical system architecture of constraint-based intelligent tutors (Mitrovic et al. 2007).

2. Flat-pack Furniture Design Critic (FFDC)

The design domain is flat-pack furniture; a user draws an axonometric diagram using a pen and a tablet. FFDC parses and examines the user’s design to identify critiquing opportunities using predefined constraints. Each constraint is associated with a set of written comments and graphical routines to present critiques using five delivery types and three modalities.

In order to determine which delivery type and modality to use for critiquing, the system maintains a model of the user. This user model represents (1) the history of violated and satisfied constraints, (2) previously used delivery types and modalities, and (3) the furniture parts that are relevant to the violated constraints.

FFDC’s Pedagogical Module selects a specific pair of delivery type and modality by considering data from the user model (e.g., how many times a
A particular constraint is violated and what critiquing methods have already been used. The first time a user violates a specific constraint, the program selects *interpretation* (delivery type) and *written comments* (modality). The second time the user violates the same constraint, the system selects *introduction* and *graphical annotations*. Once a specific pair of delivery type and modality is selected, the system uses appropriate rendering module to deliver feedback. Figure 1 shows two example critiques presented in the following critiquing methods: *introduction* and *demonstration* (delivery types) and *written comments* and *graphical annotation* (modalities).
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*Figure 1.* (1) Introduction – the system suggests adding armrests for the chair; and (2) Demonstration – the system shows adding a back part to strengthen the bookcase
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